

11-Jan-2023 | 17:31 EST

Coinbase Global Ratings Lowered To 'BB-' On Sharply Lower Trading Volumes And Rising Regulatory Risks; Outlook Negative

- Coinbase's trading volumes have weakened meaningfully in the aftermath of the collapse of cryptocurrency exchange FTX (unrated) and regulatory risk is rising, in our view.
- We expect profitability to remain pressured in 2023, despite the recently announced reduction in operating expenses and tailwinds from rising interest rates.
- We lowered our long-term issuer credit rating and senior unsecured debt ratings on Coinbase Global Inc. to 'BB-' from 'BB'.
- The negative outlook reflects continued uncertainties about the depth and duration of the crypto market downturn, insufficient visibility regarding trading volumes following the collapse of FTX, and heightened regulatory risk.

TORONTO (S&P Global Ratings) Jan. 11, 2023--S&P Global Ratings said today it lowered the long-term issuer credit rating and senior unsecured debt ratings on Coinbase Global Inc. to 'BB-' from 'BB'. The outlook is negative.

The downgrade reflects our view that weakened trading volumes in the aftermath of FTX's collapse will continue to pressure Coinbase's profitability. Our 'BB-' issuer credit rating on Coinbase incorporates our expectation of very weak profitability and rising regulatory risks, balanced by a comfortable (although potentially eroding) unrestricted cash cushion over gross debt and low risk profile overall.

We believe FTX's bankruptcy in November has severely hit the crypto industry's perceived credibility, causing a lack of retail engagement. As a result, trading volumes across exchanges, including Coinbase, have declined sharply. We estimate that Coinbase's trading volumes reached a low point in December 2022 and have remained weak so far in January 2023. Coinbase's revenues depend heavily on trading volumes, with transaction revenues representing approximately 80% of total revenues in the first nine months of 2022. Three-quarters of total revenue is from retail transaction revenue.

To counteract headwinds from weakened trading volumes, the company recently announced it was reducing its workforce by approximately 950 employees to lower certain operating expenses by 25% in the first quarter of 2023, compared with the fourth quarter of 2022. With the increase in the federal funds rate to 4.25%-4.5% from zero before March 2022, and additional rate increases expected in the coming months, we expect a sizable uptick in interest income in the fourth quarter of 2022 and full-year 2023. The company earns interest income and corporate interest and other income on customers' fiat balances (\$6.6 billion as of Sept. 30), its own corporate cash (\$5 billion), and from a revenue-sharing agreement with Circle, the issuer of USDC stablecoin. As part of its agreement with Circle, Coinbase generates income based on the USDC held on its platform and on the amount of USDC minted by Coinbase into the ecosystem (which may or may not be held on its platform). With approximately \$44 billion in market capitalization for USDC, USDC's position as a prominent stablecoin provides Coinbase with sizable and growing interest income since rising rates boost revenues on the short-term U.S. Treasuries that back the USDC on a one-to-one basis. Nevertheless, we believe that in the absence of a meaningful uptick in retail engagement, the cost cuts, as well as the tailwinds from rising interest rates, will only partially offset the downdraft in revenues.

Our rating analysis of Coinbase previously acknowledged the higher cyclical variations--peak-to-trough changes in revenue, EBITDA, and EBITDA margin--compared with traditional exchanges that operate in asset classes exhibiting lower volatility. These cyclical variations are reflected in our assessment of the company's business risk, which is weaker than that of most rated traditional exchanges. However, we now believe these cyclical variations exceed what we had previously anticipated, resulting in weaker profitability and credit metrics. We therefore revised our assessment of Coinbase's financial risk by applying an additional volatility adjustment.

Absent a recovery in trading volumes, we expect Coinbase's profitability to remain pressured in 2023. Assuming trading volumes remain at the low level observed in December 2022 and January 2023 so far, we project the company could post very small positive S&P Global adjusted EBITDA in 2023, following the company's guidance of a negative \$500 million in 2022, after adding back stock-based compensation. Should trading volumes continue to slump from the already low levels, we anticipate S&P Global adjusted EBITDA to remain solidly in negative territory over the year.

Regulatory risks remain elevated for Coinbase. We believe that the collapse and alleged fraud at FTX, one of the leading crypto exchanges, is likely to accelerate regulatory scrutiny and increase the likelihood of tightened regulation that could affect the business model negatively, at least in the short-term. The New York Department of Financial Services (NYDFS) recently announced a consent order regarding investigation into the company's 2018-2019 compliance program and the compliance backlogs related to its growth in 2021. As part of the consent order, Coinbase is required to pay a \$50 million penalty and invest \$50 million in compliance programs over the next two years. The SEC is also investigating the company's staking programs and the classification of certain listed assets. We think tighter regulation could slow revenue growth in the short term, for example, by forcing Coinbase to de-list some products (if the SEC were to qualify them as "securities") or by curtailing the growth of its staking programs. Staking activities,

where users earn rewards by using tokens as collateral to validate transactions and create blocks, accounted for 8% of total revenue in the first nine months of 2022, compared with 2% in the prior year.

Coinbase continues to maintain a low credit risk profile. The company has stated it does not lend out customers' crypto assets to crypto firms without their consent. Despite a series of recent bankruptcy filings by several crypto players (crypto lender Celsius, hedge fund Three Arrows Capital, crypto broker Voyager and, more recently FTX and BlockFi), Coinbase did not incur any losses from its financing activities.

At the end of the third quarter, credit and counterparty risk primarily stemmed from \$117 million in loan receivables (principally from retail borrow product), secured by bitcoin (with conservative loan-to-values levels), and \$168 million of cash deposits at third-party venues (including crypto venues) to facilitate client trades. The company reportedly had very small exposure to FTX (\$15 million), and it did not have any exposure to FTT (FTX's proprietary token) or Alameda Research, the market-making arm of FTX. We view Coinbase's credit risk exposures (and vulnerability to more defaults in the sector) as lower than peers in the crypto space.

We expect the pace of cash burn to decelerate in 2023. The company burnt an outstanding \$1.8 billion of USD resources (includes cash, USDC, and custodial account overfunding) in the first three quarters of 2022. Following the company's announcement to reduce operating expenses and support from higher rates, we expect lower cash burn this year compared with 2022. If trading volumes were to stabilize or rebound, we believe the company could start reconstituting cash reserves later this year. We estimate in our base-case scenario that the company will continue to operate with excess cash over the about \$3.5 billion of S&P Global Ratings-adjusted gross debt (with S&P Global Ratings adjusted leverage of 0.0x) over our 12-month outlook. However, a further decline in trading volumes from the already low December and January levels could accelerate the pace of cash burn resulting in unrestricted cash falling below S&P Global adjusted gross debt, potentially weighing on our financial risk assessment.

The negative outlook indicates continued uncertainties about the depth and duration of the crypto market downturn, insufficient visibility around the path of trading volumes following the collapse of FTX, and heightened regulatory risk.

We could lower the ratings by at least one notch over the next 12 months if:

- Cash burn in the coming quarters materially exceeds our base-case expectations, for example, because trading volumes remain more depressed than we expect in response to materially worse industry conditions;
- Increased regulation proves disruptive for the company's business model; or
- The credit risk profile were to weaken vis-à-vis similarly rated peers, contrary to our current expectations.

We could revise the outlook to stable if we were to see signs of a sustained improvement in trading volumes on Coinbase's platform.

Related Criteria

- [General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings](#), Oct. 10, 2021
- [General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology](#), July 1, 2019
- [Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments](#), April 1, 2019
- [Criteria | Corporates | General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings](#), March 28, 2018
- [Criteria | Corporates | General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers](#), Dec. 16, 2014
- [Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology](#), Nov. 19, 2013
- [General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities](#), Nov. 13, 2012
- [General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings](#), Feb. 16, 2011

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

European Endorsement Status

Global-scale credit rating(s) issued by S&P Global Ratings' affiliates based in the following jurisdictions [[To read more, visit Endorsement of Credit Ratings](#)] have been endorsed into the EU and/or the UK in accordance with the relevant CRA regulations. Note: Endorsements for U.S. Public Finance global-scale credit ratings are done per request. To review the endorsement status by credit rating, visit the spglobal.com/ratings website and search for the rated entity.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or

maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an “as is” basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT’S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P’s opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any

liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

Any Passwords/user IDs issued by S&P to users are single user-dedicated and may ONLY be used by the individual to whom they have been assigned. No sharing of passwords/user IDs and no simultaneous access via the same password/user ID is permitted. To reprint, translate, or use the data or information other than as provided herein, contact S&P Global Ratings, Client Services, 55 Water Street, New York, NY 10041; (1) 212-438-7280 or by e-mail to: research_request@spglobal.com.

Contact the analysts:

Prateek Nanda

Primary Credit Analyst, Toronto

P. + 1 (416) 507 2531

E. prateek_nanda@spglobal.com

Thierry Grunspan

Secondary Contact, Columbia

P. + 1 (212) 438 1441

E. thierry.grunspan@spglobal.com